



William J. Sutherland, Lynn V. Dicks, Nancy Ockendon, Silviu O. Petrovan and Rebecca K. Smith (dir.)

What Works in Conservation 2018

Open Book Publishers

3.3 Threat: Residential and commercial development

Publisher: Open Book Publishers
Place of publication: Open Book Publishers
Year of publication: 2018
Published on OpenEdition Books: 21 March 2019
Serie: OBP collection
Electronic ISBN: 9791036524547



<http://books.openedition.org>

Electronic reference

3.3 Threat: Residential and commercial development In: *What Works in Conservation 2018* [online].
Cambridge: Open Book Publishers, 2018 (generated 26 avril 2021). Available on the Internet: <<http://books.openedition.org/obp/6398>>. ISBN: 9791036524547.

3.3 Threat: Residential and commercial development

Based on the collated evidence, what is the current assessment of the effectiveness of interventions for residential and commercial development?	
Unknown effectiveness (limited evidence)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Angle windows to reduce bird collisions• Mark windows to reduce bird collisions

Unknown effectiveness (limited evidence)

● Angle windows to reduce bird collisions

A single randomised, replicated and controlled experiment in the USA found that fewer birds collided with windows angled away from the vertical. *Assessment: unknown effectiveness — limited evidence (effectiveness 60%; certainty 20%; harms 0%).*

<http://www.conservationevidence.com/actions/166>

● Mark windows to reduce bird collisions

Two randomised, replicated and controlled studies found that marking windows did not appear to reduce bird collisions. However, when windows were largely covered with white cloth, or tinted, fewer birds flew towards or collided with them. A third randomised, replicated and controlled study found that fewer birds collided with tinted windows than

with un-tinted ones, although the authors noted that the poor reflective quality of the glass could have influenced the results. *Assessment: unknown effectiveness — limited evidence (effectiveness 20%; certainty 20%; harms 0%).*

<http://www.conservationevidence.com/actions/167>